



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/937,188	09/21/2001	Christine Connolly	013344-9027	6355
23409	7590 06/30/2005		EXAM	INER
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP 100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE			MISLEH, JUSTIN P	
	EE, WI 53202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2612	
			DATE MAILED: 06/30/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
,	09/937,188	CONNOLLY ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Justin P. Misleh	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a repl ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11.	April 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15 - 30 is/are withdress. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1 - 15 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 January 0921</u> is/ar Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objo e drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	= ' '	-			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Buret * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appointy documents have been re au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/I	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1 – 15 in the reply filed on 11 April 2005 is acknowledged.

Specification

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claims 1 and 4-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: inconsistent claim language.
- O Claim 1: "establishing the point" is stated wherein no "point" has been previously introduced. The Examiner recommends stating, "establishing a point".
- O Claims 4-6: "setting the point of zero light intensity" is stated wherein "point of zero light intensity" has been previously introduced. The recommends stating, "setting a point of zero light intensity".
- 4. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,188 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nayar et al. in view of Sakai et al.
- 7. For Claim 1, Nayar et al. disclose, as shown in figures 2, 3, 4A, 5A, 6 8 and as stated in columns 7 (lines 36 65), 8 (lines 12 65), 9 (lines 35 46), 10 (lines 15 19), 12 (line 55) 13 (line 5), a method of calibrating a color monitoring system so as to compensate for non-ideal real camera characteristics, the method comprising:

establishing a point at which a graph of input light intensity against camera output voltage starts to deviate from a substantially linear characteristic, hereinafter referred to as establishing the knee (115, 119, and 123; clearly disclosed in figures 6 - 8.); and

restricting the amount of light incident on all sensor elements (mask 401 is used to restricting light; see figure 4A) of the camera (see figure 2) such that the maximum output corresponds to a voltage at, or below, the knee, and lower light intensities are all within the range of linear operation (see column 8, lines 6 - 52 and column 9, lines 60 - 67).

While Nayar et al. disclose a method of establishing a knee point and such that output signals conforms to a linear characteristic; Nayar et al. does not disclose establishing a camera offset by measuring or calculating the output voltage of the camera when substantially no light falls on any of its sensor elements, hereinafter referred to as establishing the offset.

Art Unit: 2612

On the other hand, Sakai et al. also disclose a method for compensating real camera characteristics. More specifically, Sakai et al. disclose, in accordance with figures 1 and 2 and column 4 (line 29) - column 5 (line 49), an image processing apparatus that at least includes a shutter (2) and a photoelectric conversion unit (3) which includes a plurality of pixels. Furthermore, Sakai et al. disclose that when a user operates a release button, the shutter (2) is opened and the plurality of pixels of the photoelectric unit (3) captures an image of the subject that has passed through the lens (1) and the opened shutter (2). After the image of the subject has been captured, the shutter (2) is closed, such that light passing through the lens does not pass through the shutter (2) to the photoelectric conversion unit (3); hence, allowing the photoelectric conversion unit (3) to capture a dark image that represents noise. The noise capturing operation, while the shutter is closed, is repeated two or more arbitrary times such that a plurality of dark images are captured so that an averaged dark image can be calculated to represent the average noise of the photoelectric conversion unit (3). The averaged dark image is an "offset" that is subtracted the subject image to produce a final image with reduced noise. Clearly, Sakai et al. teach establishing a camera offset by measuring or calculating the output voltage of the camera when substantially no light falls on any of its sensor elements, hereinafter referred to as establishing the offset.

As stated in column 1 (lines 30 - 35), at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to have included establishing a camera offset by measuring or calculating the output voltage of the camera when substantially no light falls on any of its sensor elements, hereinafter referred to as establishing the offset, as taught by Sakai et

Art Unit: 2612

al., in the method, disclosed by Nayar et al., for the advantage of reducing fixed pattern noises without increasing random noises.

- As for Claim 2, Sakai et al. teach that when a user operates a release button, the shutter (2) is opened and the plurality of pixels of the photoelectric unit (3) captures an image of the subject that has passed through the lens (1) and the opened shutter (2). After the image of the subject has been captured, the shutter (2) is closed, such that light passing through the lens does not pass through the shutter (2) to the photoelectric conversion unit (3); hence, allowing the photoelectric conversion unit (3) to capture a dark image that represents noise. Therefore, Sakai et al. teach that the offset is established on a periodical basis.
- 9. As for Claim 3, Sakai et al. teach that when a user operates a release button, the shutter (2) is opened and the plurality of pixels of the photoelectric unit (3) captures an image of the subject that has passed through the lens (1) and the opened shutter (2). After the image of the subject has been captured, the shutter (2) is closed, such that light passing through the lens does not pass through the shutter (2) to the photoelectric conversion unit (3); hence, allowing the photoelectric conversion unit (3) to capture a dark image that represents noise. Therefore, Sakai et al. teach that the offset is established whenever an image capture operation for capturing a desired image is carried out.
- 10. As for Claims 4-6, the features of these claims are inherent to what is required by the claim language. In other words, closing the camera iris, capturing a black image, or extrapolating measurements of known black reflectance will always generate a point of zero light intensity. Since the claimed features are inherent to the claim language; they are equally inherent to the camera of Nayar et al. and/or Sakai et al.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,188

Art Unit: 2612

11. As for Claim 7, Nayar et al. discloses, as shown in figure 4A and as stated in column 7 (lines 55 – 65), that mask (101) may be built-in to the image sensor (165), thereby functioning as a nimage filter. Therefore, Nayar et al. disclose wherein one point of known reflectance comprises an integral part of the desired image itself.

Page 6

- 12. As for Claim 8, Nayar et al. discloses, as shown in figure 4A and as stated in column 7 (lines 55 65), that mask (101) may be built-in to the image sensor (165), thereby functioning as a an image filter. The mask (101) is comprised of white and black know reflectance components (see figure 4A). Therefore, Nayar et al. disclose wherein one point of known reflectance comprises a white e reference tile within the image field.
- 13. As for Claim 9, Nayar et al disclose, as shown figure 5A, ensuring that maximum reflectance is achieved via mask (101) and capture of black and white objects. Therefore, Nayar et al. disclose wherein there is provided a source of maximum light reflectance within the image field by ensuring that a white object is present somewhere in the image field.
- 14. As for Claim 10, Nayar et al. disclose an aperture (403) and a mask (101) to restrict light input tot the image sensor (165) such that camera operates linearly according to figures 4 6. Furthermore, Nayar et al. disclose in figures 2 6 that the mask (101) fixed as known reflectance; therefore, Nayar et al. does in fact reduce the aperture (403) such that together with the mask (101) the camera operates linearly.
- 15. As for Claim 11, Nayar et al. disclose an aperture (403) and a mask (101) to restrict light input tot the image sensor (165) such that camera operates linearly according to figures 4 6. Furthermore, Nayar et al. disclose in figures 2 6 that the mask (101) fixed as known reflectance; therefore, Nayar et al. does in fact reduce the aperture (403) such that together with

Application/Control Number: 09/937,188

Art Unit: 2612

the mask (101) the camera operates linearly according to the full scale values shown in figures 4 - 6.

Page 7

- As for Claim 12, Nayar et al. is directed to adjustment of the camera such captured 16. images of objects with known reflectance (see figure 5) using the fixed mask (101) and the reduced-set aperture (101) produce images having the known reflectance. As stated in column 9 (lines 25 – 45) and column 12 (line 55) – 13 (line 5), when captured images are in the linear region known white is registered in the captured images.
- As for Claim 13, Nayar et al. is directed to calibration prior to image capture wherein 17. Sakai et al. is directed to real-time correction during image capture. Therefore, Nayar et al. in view of Sakai et al. teach that the knee (Nayar et al.) is established less frequently (once versus every image capture) the offset (Sakai et al.).
- As for Claims 14 and 15, while Nayar et al. disclose a calibration stage for establishing 18. the knee once the calibration stage requires a plurality of image captures. As clearly shown in figure 6, the curve (113) begins prior to any image capture at the origin (fairly non-linear) to after a plurality of image captures (see dotted lines) wherein the curve is fairly linear. Therefore, Navar et al. disclose wherein the step of establishing the knee is carried out before and after commencing a plurality of image capture operations. It is important to note, the claim language defines a print run as comprising a plurality of image captures.

Application/Control Number: 09/937,188

Art Unit: 2612

Cited Prior Art

Page 8

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's

disclosure because each cited reference as least teaches of a method for adjusting a camera

output value by an auto-knee circuit or saturation suppression circuit.

Conclusion

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Examiner should be directed to Justin P Misleh whose telephone number is 571.272.7313. The

Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM and

on alternating Fridays from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's

supervisor, Wendy R Garber can be reached on 571.272.7308. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703.872.9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JPM

June 27, 2005

WENDY R. GARBEN WENDY R. GARBEN EXAMINER

TECHINYOLOGY CEIN